

Substitute for form 1449B/PTO

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(Use as many sheets as necessary)

Sheet 2 of 2

Complete if Known

Application Number	10/661,747
Filing Date	09/12/2003
First Named Inventor	Korotky
Art Unit	2145
Examiner Name	Jeffrey R. Swearingen
Attorney Docket Number	Korotky 28 (LCNT/125465)

NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials *	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T ²
		Somdip DATTA et al., "Routing and Grooming in Two-Tier Survivable Optical Mesh Networks," IWQoS 2003, LNCS 2707, Springer-Verlag 2003, pp. 231-248.	
		Anurag DWIVEDI and Richard E. WAGNER, "Traffic Model for USA Long-Distance Optical Network," Proc. Conf. Optical Fiber Commun. (Baltimore), Optical Society of America, paper TuK1, 1000, pp. 156-158.	
		Stefano BARONI et al., "Backbone Network Architectures for IP Optical Networking," Proc. Conf. Optical Fiber Commun. (Baltimore), Optical Society of America, paper TuK2, 2000, pp. 159-161.	
		Christian FENGER, et al., "Statistical Study of the Influence of Topology on Wavelength Usage in WDM Networks," Proc. Conf. Optical Fiber Commun. (Baltimore), Optical Society of America, paper TuK6, 2000, pp. 171-173.	
		Anthony S. ACAMPORA et al., "On Tolerating Single Link, Double Link, and Nodal Failures in Symmetric Grid Networks," J. of High Speed Networks 11 (2002) pp. 23-44.	
		Adel A. M. SALEH, "All-Optical Networking in Metro, Regional and Backbone Networks," Dig. IEEE/LEOS Summer Top Meet., July 2002, paper MG3, p. 15.	
		Pedro FERREIRA et al., "Optical Networks and the Future of Broadband Services," J. Tech. Forecasting and Social Change, 69, 2002, pp. 741-758.	
		A. RICHTER et al., "Impact of Traffic Topology on Wavelength Demand in Wavelength Routed Optical Networks," Proc. Conf. OFC (Atlanta), Optical Society of America, paper ThH4, 2003, pp. 484-485.	

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹ Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ² Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached. This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.